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Background

- Value of RCTs
- Evidence based practice
  - hierarchy of evidence
    
    \[
    \text{one large RCT} = 60-80\% \text{ systematic review}
    \]
Study design

- Issues in designing RCTs
- Study aims
- Ask an important question
- Phases of clinical trials
  - I, II, III and IV
  - efficacy, effectiveness, pragmatic studies
“Is this treatment helpful on average for a wide range of patients?”
Population

Assignment
Randomization

Intervention

Group 1 → Outcome

Group 2 → Outcome

No intervention; placebo; best available
Large simple megatrials

- Recruiting patients from many centres - simple design
- Collect 10 times less data and recruit 10 times more patients
- Recruit in uncertainty (collective)
Study population

- Eligibility criteria
- Case definition
  - non-specific musculoskeletal pain
  - recruit participants who qualify
    - large numbers enrolled in fastest possible time
- Generalizability
Interventions

- Development of intervention
- Treatment definition
  - multiplicity of approaches ‘black box’
  - therapist part of the intervention
  - real world environment
- Comparator
Analysis

- Intention-to-treat
- Collection of data and statistical analysis performed blind to treatment group
- Data Monitoring and Ethics Committee
A PRAGMATIC APPROACH:
an example in primary care
The effectiveness of manual therapy or pulsed short-wave diathermy in addition to exercise and advice for neck disorders; a pragmatic randomized controlled trial in physiotherapy clinics.

Aim of the study

Primary objective

to compare at 6 months the effect of adding:
1. Manual Therapy
2. PSWD
to Advice and Exercise alone

Secondary objectives

• to compare clinical outcomes at 6 weeks
• to compare cost consequences at 6 months
Eligibility

- **Inclusion**
  - 18 years and over
  - Clinical diagnosis neck pain and/or stiffness (including unilateral arm pain)
  - Referred from primary care
  - No treatment previous 6 months

- **Exclusion**
  - ‘Red flags’
  - Serious pathology, inflammatory arthritides, progressive neurological signs, contraindication to treatment, injury awaiting claim, pregnancy
Study intervention

All patients received:

- home exercise sheet (Physio Tools)
- one to one advice on managing their neck problem
- an information leaflet (arc) to take home
Study intervention

Interventions:
- no additional treatment to advice & exercise
- manual therapy; defined as hands-on mobilisation/ manipulations
- PSWD

maximum of 8 twenty-minute treatments in 6 weeks
Outcome measures

- Primary outcome measure
  - Northwick Park Neck Pain Questionnaire
    - pain disability measure (Leak et al, 1994)
    - 9 Questions 100-point scale
  - Baseline, six weeks, six months
Secondary outcome measures

1. Patient’s global assessment of improvement
2. Average pain severity over the past 3 days
3. Severity rating of patient-nominated main problem over the past 3 days
4. Employment days lost since last assessment
5. Side effects in the first 6 weeks
6. Co-interventions
7. Patient-related costs
8. Quality of life: Euroqol EQ-5D and SF-12
9. Patient satisfaction
Results

- 735 patients were screened
- Target recruitment 350 in 22 months
- Mean age 51 years
- 63% Female
Referred into trial (n=735)

Not randomized (n=385)

Randomized (n=350)

Did not consent (n=175)

Excluded by criteria (n=210)

Adv & Exs (n=115)

Adv & Exs + MT (n=114)

Adv & Exs + PSWD (n=121)

6-week Follow-up (n=100) 88%

6-week Follow-up (n=106) 94%

6-week Follow-up (n=111) 93%

6-month Follow-up (n=100) 88%

6-month Follow-up (n=109) 96%

6-month Follow-up (n=112) 93%
Adjusted Scores

![Graph showing adjusted Northwick Park scores over time for different treatments. The x-axis represents time (Baseline, 6 weeks, 6 months), and the y-axis represents the adjusted mean Northwick Park score. The graph compares A&E, MT, and PSWD treatments.](image-url)
Summary of results

- No differences in primary outcome at 6 m
- Patient satisfaction was in favour of MT
- Treatment course was shorter in the advice and exercise alone group
- 350 patients randomized, 15 centres, 70 physiotherapists
  - 92% f/u at 6 months
  - 98% received their allocated treatment
Conclusion

The addition of manual therapy or PSWD to exercise and advice alone does not provide any better clinical improvement in the physiotherapy treatment of non-specific neck disorders.
“In an attempt to find out what really works, British physiotherapists conducted a rigorous clinical trial……. These findings are important. They show that the best options for neck pain are fairly simple and inexpensive.”

Professor Ernst The Guardian 9th August 2005.
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